CSC4200/5200 – COMPUTER NETWORKING

Instructor: Susmit Shannigrahi

CONGESTION CONTROL

sshannigrahi@tntech.edu





TCP flow control

- receiver "advertises" free buffer space in the header
- sender limits amount of unacked ("in-flight") data to receiver's rwnd value
- guarantees receive buffer will not overflow



Congestion Control



Principles of congestion control

congestion:

- informally: "too many sources sending too much data too fast for *network* to handle"
- different from flow control!
- manifestations:
 - lost packets (buffer overflow at routers)
 - long delays (queueing in router buffers)
- a top-10 problem!

Congestion: scenario 1

- three senders, two receivers
- one router, infinite buffers
- output link capacity: R
- The router can only transmit one –... and either buffer or drop the other
- If many packets arrive,
- Buffer overflow



Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 2

- one router, *finite* buffers
- sender retransmission of timed-out packet
 - application-layer input = application-layer output: $\Box_{in} = \Box_{out} \ge$
 - transport-layer input includes *retransmissions* : D in D in



Metrics: Throughput vs Delay

High throughput –

- Throughput: measured performance of a system –E.g., number of bits/second of data that get through
- Low delay –
- Delay: time required to deliver a packet or message –E.g., number of ms to deliver a packet
- These two metrics are sometimes at odds
 - More packets = more queuing

Issues in Resource Allocation



Ratio of throughput to delay as a function of load

Issues in Resource Allocation

- Evaluation Criteria
 - Fair Resource Allocation
 - The effective utilization of network resources is not the only criterion for judging a resource allocation scheme.
 - We want to be "fair"
 - Equal share of bandwidth

But, what if the flows traverse different paths?

Open problem, often determined by economics



(a) FIFO queuing; (b) tail drop at a FIFO queue.

What are the problems?

Defining Fairness: Flows

"fair" to whom? – Should be Fair to a Flow

What is a flow? Combination of <Src IP, Src Port, Dst IP, Dst Port>

Fair Queuing

- Fair Queuing
 - FIFO does not discriminate between different traffic sources, or
 - it does not separate packets according to the flow to which they belong.
 - Fair queuing (FQ) maintains a separate queue for each flow

Queuing Disciplines

• Fair Queuing



Round-robin service of four flows at a router

Min Max Fair queuing

- Assume *n* clients
- Channel capacity ${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}}$
- Give c/n to each client
 - If C1 does not want c/n
 - Divide the excess capacity equally among others
 - So everyone else gets c/n + (c/n c1)/n-1
 - Repeat for C2 and others

TCP Congestion Control

- Each source determines available capacity
- Max many packets is allowed to have in transit window
- Congestion window = # of unacked bytes
- MaxSendWindow = min(congestion window, receiver window)
- How do you change congestion window?
 - Decrease on losing a packet (back off)
 - Increase on successful send

How much to increase and decrease?

• Additive Increase, Multiplicative Decrease (AIMD)

How much to increase and decrease?

• Additive Increase, Multiplicative Decrease (AIMD)



How much to increase and decrease?

- approaclsenderincreases transmission rate (window size), probing for usable bandwidth, until loss occurs
 - additive increase cwnd by 1 MSS every RTT until los detected
 - Imultiplicative decrease cwnd in half after loss

AIMD saw tooth behavior: probing for bandwidth conditively increase window size ... condition of the state of the st

TCP Slow Start

- when connection begins, increase rate exponentially until first loss event:
 - initially cwnd = 1 MSS
 - double **cwnd** every RTT
 - done by incrementing cwnd for every ACK received
- <u>summary</u>: initial rate is slow but ramps up exponentially fast



TCP Slow Start

Why not start with a large window?

Why not increase one by one?



TCP: detecting, reacting to loss

- loss indicated by timeout:
 - -cwnd set to 1 MSS;
 - -window then grows exponentially (as in slow start) to threshold, then grows linearly
- loss indicated by 3 duplicate ACKs: TCP RENO
 - -dup ACKs indicate network capable of delivering some segments -**cwnd** is cut in half window then grows linearly
- •TCP Tahoe always sets **cwnd** to 1 (timeout or 3 duplicate acks)

TCP:Two types of loss

- Triple duplicate ack
 - Do a multiplicative decrease, keep going
- Timeout
 - Reset CWND to 1
 - Take advantage of

TCP Slow Start and congestion avoidance



https://www.researchgate.net/figure/3-TCP-slow-start-phase-and-congestion-avoidance-phase_fig3_225731524

TCP Congestion Summary

CWND < Threshold \rightarrow Slow Start, Exponential increase CWND > Threshold \rightarrow Congestion Avoidance, Linear increase Triple Duplicate ACK \rightarrow Threshold = CWND/2, CWND = CWND/2 Timeout \rightarrow Threshold = CWND/2, CWDN = 1 (or 3)



TCP Throughput

TCP average throughput as a function of window size and RTT? Ignore slow start, assume long TCP flow

Let W be the window size

Throughput = W/RTT After loss, throughput = W/2*RTT Average throughput = 0.75W/RTT

Problems with Fast Links

Consider the high speed link: 9000 byte segments 100ms RTT 100Gbps/second throughput

Throughput = 0.75W/RTTSo, WindowSize (w) = Throughput * RTT / 0.75W = 1,481,481,444 segments

Problems with Fast Links

TCP assumes all losses are due to congestion

Throughput = (1.22*MSS)*(RTT/sqrt(Loss))

What is the loss rate to maximize 100Gbps pipe with 9000 bytes segments and 100ms RTT? Hint – must be very very low

https://www.switch.ch/network/tools/tcp_throughput/

Reading Assignments

https://book.systemsapproach.org/congestion/tcpcc.html#tcp-cong estion-control

Long read.